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## Glossary of Abbreviations

BUP: Bachillerato unificado polivalente (Unified secondary education).
CA: Comunidad Autónoma.
VTP: Vocational training programme (Ciclo formativo).
COU: Curso de orientación universitaria (Pre-university course).
GD: General Directorate (Dirección General).
EGB: Educación general básica (General basic education).
ESO: Educación secundaria obligatoria (Compulsory secondary education).
VT: Vocational training.
INCE: Instituto Nacional de Calidad y Evaluación (National Institute for Quality and Evaluation).

INE: Instituto Nacional de Estadistica (National Institute for Statistics).
INES: OECD's international project of indicators of education systems.
LOGSE: Ley Orgánica de Ordenación General del Sistema Educativo (General Law of Spanish Educational System).

MEC: Ministerio de Educación y Cultura (Ministry of Education and Culture).
MEH: Ministerio de Economia y Hacienda (Ministry of Economy and Exchequer).
OECD: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
GDP: Gross Domestic Product.
IRS: Internal regulations system.

## Introduction

Article 62 of the General Law of Spanish Educational System (LOGSE) deals with the evaluation of the Education System. which is entrusted to the Instituto Nacional de Calidad y Evaluación (INCE).

So that the provisions of the LOGSE could be put into practice, on June the 18th, 1993, Royal Decree 928/1993, was passed. This is the regulation governing the INCE, which specifies in greater detail the role, composition and duties of its governing bodies and the principles on which its organisation is based. Within the main duties attributed to the INCE there is "prepaning' a national system of indicators that allow the analysis of the degree of effivacy and effriency of the Education System" (article 3.3).

Co-ordinating the project, along with the Instituto Nacional de Calidad y Evaluación, the Oficina de Planificación y Estadística del Ministerio de Educación y Cultura, the Instituto Nacional de Estadistica, the Vicesecretaria de Estudios del Consejo de Universidades and each of the Comunidades Autonomas with full powers in educational affairs are represented. The co-ordinating group has constituted several technical working groups to deal with explicit tasks within the framework of the project.

The functional reference framework on which indicators were selected and elaborated has been the outline: Context, Resources, Schooling, Processes and

Educational Achievement, which is similar to the one used by other indicators systems.

Organisation of indicators include the following components:
a) Title: of the indicator and, if necessary, of the sub-indicator.
b) Definition: Following the title, in precise, but understandable terms.
c) Synthesis: summary of the most significant information obtained through the indicator.
d) Tables and charts: numeric and chart representation of the resulting information, including the disaggregations done and the series built.
e) Sources: data origin.

During the reference period of time, the nineties, different education systems have coexisted at a non-university level: those regulated by the 1970 Ley General de Educacion with the ones regulated by the 1990 LOGSE. For a correct understanding of the education indicators, this has to be taken into account.

The system of indicators has been published with the title "Sistema estatal de indicadores de la educación 2000. Instituto Nacional de Calidad y Evaluación", where each education indicator and its analysis is presented in detailed information. Because of its size and the
amount of information, it is convenient to offer an extract amount of information it provides that, without loosing a global understanding of the basic information over the system as a whole, gives a more synthetic presentation; that is the objective of this booklet. For this, the most relevant data and texts have been extracted, to give a global view of the education indicators. Those are presented at a national level in the above mentioned publication, the reference point when looking for a more thorough or complete information than the one given in this booklet.

## SPANISH EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM

## LEY GENERAL DE EDUCACION LGE (1970)

GENERAL LAW
OF SPANISH EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM LOGSE (1960)

## RELATIVE SIZE OF THE SCHOOL AGE POPULATION

Number of people between 0 and 29 years of age of every 100 persons in the total population.

Population between 0 and 29 years of age represents $40.5 \%$ of the total Spanish 1996 population.

The Comunidades Autónomas with a major proportion of youth population are Ceuta and Melilla, Islas Canarias, Región de Murcia and Andalucia, and with less proportion, Principado de Asturias, Aragón, Castilla y León and La Rioja.

From 1986 to 1996, the larger part of the youth population has decreased 6.4 percentage points.

Chart: Percentage of youth population by Comunidad Autónoma and by age groups. 1996.


Table: Change in the percentage of the youth population by age group.

|  | Ages |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{0 - 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 - 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 - 2 9}$ |
| Population register 1986 | 46,9 | $\mathbf{7 , 4}$ | 10,0 | 10,1 | 10,2 | $\mathbf{9 , 2}$ |
| Census 1991 | 44,1 | 6,3 | 8,1 | 10,1 | 10,0 | 9,6 |
| Population register 1996 | 40,5 | 5,7 | 6,5 | 8,4 | 10,1 | 9,8 |

# GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT PER INHABITANT 

## Value of the Spanish Gross Domestic Product per person, expressed in thousands pesetas.

In 1996, the Spanish GDP per inhabitant was $1,873,500$ pts. The Comunidades Autónomas with a higher GDP per inhabitant were Baleares, Comunidad de Madrid, Cataluña and Navarra, and the ones with a lower GDP per inhabitant were Extremadura, Andalucia and Galicia.

Between 1986 and 1996, Spanish GDP per inhabitant has more than doubled. The more intense growth occurred between 1986 and 1991.

Chart: GDP per inhabitant and by Comunidad Autonoma. 1996.
(Thousand current pesetas).


Table: Change in the GDP per inhabitant. (Thousand current pesetas).

| National mean | 1986 | 1991 | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 838,8 | $1.411,3$ | $1.873,5$ |

## RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN POPULATION AND ECONOMIC ACTIVITY

Percentage of the population from and above 16 years of age considered to be population outside the labour force and labour force population. Percentage of employed and unemployed people within the labour force population.

Half of the Spanish 1997 population is labour force, and about $39 \%$ is employed. Baleares, Navarra and Cataluña are the Comunidades Autónomas with a higher percentage of employed population.

Since 1987 to 1997, Spanish labour force has remained around $50 \%$ of the population.

Even though the rising trend of women incorporating to the labour force between 1987 and 1997, in that latter year about 6 out of 10 women were inactive.

The percentage of occupied men in 1997 remained nearly double than women's.

Chart: Relationship between population and economic activity, by Comunidades Autonomas. 1997.


Table: Change in the size of population of 16 years of age and above related to the economic activity, by gender (Percentages).

|  | Total |  |  | Men |  |  | Women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1991 | 1997 | 1987 | 1991 | 1997 | 1987 | 1991 | 1997 |
| Employed labour force | 38,8 | 41,2 | 39,3 | 56,3 | 58,0 | 52,6 | 22,5 | 25,6 | 26,8 |
| Unemployed labour force | 10.0 | 7.8 | 10,4 | 11.6 | 7.9 | 10,3 | 8,5 | 7.7 | 10,5 |

## EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF THE ADULT POPULATION

Percentage of the population between 25 and 64 years of age that has completed a certain level of education.

In 1997 still nearly half of the Spanish adult population ( 25 to 64 years of age) had an educational level no higher than primary studies, even though approximately $19 \%$ had finished tertiary education. The Comunidades Autónomas with a higher proportion of population with tertiary education are Pais Vasco, Comunidad de Madrid and Navarra.

In 1997, men between 25 and 64 years of age, as a group, continued having a higher educational level than women, although in the younger age group, 25 to 34 years, women are shown to have a higher educational level. The educational level of the population has considerably increased between 1987 and 1997, mainly within the younger generation.

Chart: Educational level of the adult population ( 25 to 64 years of age), by Comunidad Autönoma. 1997.


Table: Educational level of the adult population by age groups and gender. 1997. (Percentages).

| Less than primary education | 10,5 | 14,1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Primary education | 34,2 | 35,4 |
| Compulsory secondary education | 21,9 | 20,9 |
| Post-compulsory secondary education | 13,3 | 12,2 |
| Tertiary education | 20,1 | 17,4 |

Table: Change in the educational level of the adult population by age groups. (Percentages).

| Men | Women | Men | Women |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 10,5 | 14,1 | 2,7 | 2,4 |
| 34,2 | 35,4 | 14,6 | 12,2 |
| 21,9 | 20,9 | 34,3 | 31,1 |
| 13,3 | 12,2 | 20,4 | 21,5 |
| 20,1 | 17,4 | 28,0 | 32,8 |

Aged 25-64

| (Percentages). | Aged 25-64 |  |  | Aged 25-34 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1991 | 1997 | 1987 | 1991 | 1997 |
| Less than primary education | 19,8 | 18,5 | 12,3 | 5,8 | 4,0 | 2,5 |
| Primary education | 53,3 | 45,3 | 34,8 | 39,8 | 26,9 | 13,5 |
| Compulsory secondary education | 10,5 | 14,6 | 21,4 | 22,1 | 28,9 | 32,8 |
| Post-compulsory secondary education | 6,7 | 9,4 | 12,8 | 13,7 | 18,3 | 20,9 |
| Tertiary education | 9,8 | 12,3 | 18,8 | 18,6 | 21,9 | 30,3 |

## EXPECTATIONS OF MAXIMUM LEVEL OF STUDIES

## Percentage of parents who expect their children to reach certain levels of educational attainment.

More than two out of three parents hope their children attain a university degree.

The higher the level of the parents' educational attainment, the greater the level they expect for their children. Expectations of university studies for students is shown by, 10 out of 10 parents, if they have this educational level, between 8-9 parents, if their educational level is bachillerato or vocational training, between 5-7 if they finished or dropped out primary studies before finishing, and between 3-4 parents if they do not have studies.

The proportion of parents with high educational expectations for their children usually decreases as the student's age increases. Parents of students in the age of primary education have a higher expectation level than parents of students in the age of compulsory secondary education.

Chart: Parents expectations of maximum level of educational attainment for their children. 1995 and 1997.


What is the maximum level of studies you want for your child?

Chart: Parents expectations by their level of educational attainment.


## EDUCATIONAL EXPENDITURE RELATIVE TO GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT

## Percentage of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) allocated to education.

In 1996, the total expenditure on education was a $6.2 \%$ of the GDP. $5 \%$ of GDP is allocated to public expenditure and $1.4 \%$ to private expenditure ${ }^{\text {I }}$.

The percentage of GDP allocated to the public expenditure in education at national level is around $1.7 \%$, the one allocated to the Autonomous Administrations is about $2.9 \%$, and the one to the Local Administrations is around $0.2 \%$.

Between 1992 and 1996, the percentage of the GDP allocated to total expenditure on education has remained stable around $6 \%$.

[^0]
## Sources:

- Estadística del Gasto Público en Educación, Presupuesto Liquidado, 1992 a

1996. Oficina de Planificación y Estadistica del MEC.

- Gasto Privado, 1992 a 1996. INE.
- GDP: Contabilidad Nacional de España, 1992 a 1996. INE.

Chart: Percentage of the GDP allocated to education and its change.


Table: Change in the public expenditure relative to GDP by administration level. (Percentages).

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2,0 | 2,0 | 1,9 | 1,9 |
| Central Administration | 2,6 | 2,7 | 2,6 | 1,7 |  |
| Autonomous Administrations | 0,3 | 0,2 | 0,3 | 0,2 | 2,9 |
| Local Administration | 0,1 | 0,2 | 0,2 | 0,2 |  |
| Others |  |  | 0,2 | 0,2 |  |

## PUBLIC EXPENDITURE ON EDUCATION

## Percentage of the public expenditure allocated to education.

In 1996, the total expenditure devoted to education represents $9.3 \%$ of the total public expenditure, $3 \%$ of which corresponds to the Central Administration, $5.5 \%$ to the Autonomous Administration and $0.4 \%$ to the Local Administration.

Nearly $85 \%$ of public expenditure on education is devoted to teaching activities, of which infant and primary education (around $32 \%$ ), followed by secondary education, vocational training and education pertaining to the special system (around $27 \%$ ), and university education (around 16\%) are the areas with the biggest investment.
$14.8 \%$ of the total current expenditure Education Administrations, excluding university education, is devoted to funding private schools.

## Sources:

- Estadistica del Gasto Público en Educación, Presupuesto Liquidado, 1992 a 1996. Officina de Planificación y Estadistica del MEC
- Gasto Público Total, 1992 a 1996. DG de Coordinación con las Haciendas Territoriales del MEH.

Chart: Percentage of the public expenditure allocated to education and its change.


Table: Change in the public expenditure on education. (Percentages).

|  | 1992 | 1996 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 85,9 | 84,9 |
| Infant ed. and primary ed./EGB | 34,8 | 32,1 |
| Secondary ed., VT and ed. pert. to the special s. | 25,8 | 27,2 |
| University education | 14,4 | 16,1 |
| Special education | 1,8 | 1,7 |
| Other education | 2,9 | 2,4 |
| In-service training | 5,1 | 4,4 |
| Other teaching activities | 1,1 | 1,1 |

Table: Change in the percentage of the current expenditure of the educational administrations allocated to funding private schools, excluding university education.

|  | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total current expenditure devo- <br> ted to funding private schools | $14,5 \%$ | $16,2 \%$ | $15,2 \%$ | $15,2 \%$ | $14,8 \%$ |

## EDUCATIONAL EXPENDITURE PER STUDENT

## Average expenditure per student in thousands of pesetas.

In 1996, the average expenditure per student is 453,100 pesetas. Tertiary education is the educational level with the highest average expenditure per student, followed by secondary education, primary education and finally infant education.

Between 1992 and 1996, the average expenditure per student has increased about $66 \%$, infant education being the educational level which has increased less.

## Sources:

- Estadistica del Gasto Público en Educación, Presupuesto Liquidado, 1992 a

1996. Oficina de Planificación y Estadistica. MEC

- Gasto Privado, 1992 a 1996. INE.

Chart: Change in the average expenditure per student by level of education. (Thousands of current pesetas).


# PROPORTION OF THE LABOUR FORCE EMPLOYED IN TEACHING 

Percentage of the employed labour force working as teachers.

In the school year 1996-97, of every 100 employed people, nearly 5 are working as teachers. The major proportion of employed labour force working as teachers are in the Comunidades Autónomas of Extremadura and Andalucía. Nearly 58\% of teachers are women, although the percentage in different educational levels differs a lot. In pre-primary education, approximately $92 \%$ of the teachers are women, while in university education, women represent only around $33 \%$ of the teaching staff.

Between 1986-87 and 1996-97, the education levels where the teaching staff has increased more are, in the following order, specially regulated education, university education, secondary education / vocational training and pre-primary education.

## Sources:

- Teaching: Estadistica de la Enseñanza en España niveles no universitarios,

1986-87, 1991-92 y 1996-97, Oficina de Planificación y Estadistica del MEC;
Estadistica de la Ensen̄anza Universitaria, 1986-87, 1991-92 y 1996-97, INE.

- Labour force data; Encuesta de Población Activa-2 Trimestre, 1997. INE.

Chart: Percentage of the employed labour force working as teachers, by Comunidad Autónoma. School year 1996-97.


Table: Change in the percentage of women working as teachers, by educational level.

Table: Change in the teaching staff by educational level.
(School year 1986-87 = 100\%).

|  | School year |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $86-87$ | $91-92$ | $96-97$ |
| Total | 56,0 | 57,6 | 57,9 |
| Pre-primary ed. | 96,0 | 95,7 | 92,3 |
| Primary ed/EGB | 61,6 | 64,9 | 66,8 |
| Secondary ed. $/$ TT | 44,3 | 48,7 | 51,3 |
| Special ed. | 70,8 | 71,0 | 77,5 |
| University ed. | 27,7 | 30,8 | 32,6 |
| Ed. Pertaining to the | 45,6 | 49,3 | 48,7 |
| Special system |  |  |  |


|  | School year |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $86-87$ | $91-92$ | $96-97$ |
| Total | 100 | 118,8 | 130,4 |
| Pre-primary ed. | 100 | 112,0 | 155,7 |
| Primary ed./EGB | 100 | 105,2 | 84,0 |
| Secondary ed.VT | 100 | 132,3 | 181,2 |
| Special ed | 100 | 95,8 | 105,1 |
| University ed. | 100 | 144,0 | 181,9 |
| Ed. Pertaining to the |  | 191,3 | 219,9 |
| Special system | 100 |  |  |

## STUDENTS PER GROUP AND PER TEACHER

## Rc5.1. Students per educational group

Average number of students, by educational group in non-university education.

The educational levels with an average number of students of more than 25 per group in the school-year 1996-97 are, compulsory secondary education, BUP and COU/experimental bachillerato, LOGSE bachillerato and vocational training medium and high levels.

All new LOGSE's educational levels have a lower average number of students per group than they had in the previous education system.

The smallest average number of students per group in compulsory education, is in the Pais Vasco: about 19 in primary education/EGB, and nearly 25 in compulsory secondary education.

In the school year 1996-97, the average number of students per group in private schools was higher than that of the public ones at all educational levels, except in BUP and COU/experimental bachillerato and in the advanced vocational training/vocational modules level III.

Between the school years 1986-87 and 1996-97, the average number of students per group has decreased approximately by 7 in pre-primary education, primary education/EGB, and about 2 in special education.

The differences between the average number of students per group in private and public schools tend to be reduced.

Chart: Average number of students per educational group in non-university levels, by type of school. 1996-1997 census.


Chart: Average number of students per educational group in compulsory education, by Comunidad Autónoma. 1996-97 census.


Table: Change in the average number of students per educational group by type of school.

|  |  | All | Public | Private |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-primary education | $1986-87$ | 27,6 | 26,2 | 30,3 |
|  | $1991-92$ | 23,5 | 21,8 | 26,9 |
| Primary ed./ EGB | $1996-97$ | 21,0 | 20,5 | 22,1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $1986-87$ | 29,9 | 27,5 | 35,7 |
| Special education | $1991-92$ | 25,9 | 23,6 | 31,8 |
|  | $1996-97$ | 22,6 | 20,9 | 26,7 |
|  | $1986-87$ | 8,9 | 8,4 | 9,5 |
|  | $1991-92$ | 7,5 | 6,6 | 8,6 |
|  | $1996-97$ | 6,7 | 6,1 | 7,5 |

## STUDENTS PER GROUP AND PER TEACHER

## Rc5.2. Students per teacher

Average number of students per teacher in non-university education.

In the school-year 1996-97, the average number of students per teacher at non-university levels is around 15 . Generally, private schools have about 4 students more per teacher than the public ones.

Navarra is the Comunidad Autónoma with a lower average number of students per teacher, around 12 ; and Ceuta has the biggest, around 19.

Chart: Average number of students in non-university education per teacher, by Comunidad Autonoma and by type of school. School year 1996-97.


## PARTICIPATION IN EDUCATION AT EACH EDUCATIONAL LEVEL

Number of students enrolled in the different educational levels for every 100 people of the population between 3 and 29 years of age.

In the school year 1996-97 schooling of Spanish population between 3 and 29 years of age is about 64\%. Approximately 7\% are enrolled in pre-primary education and $26 \%$ in primary education/EGB/first cycle of compulsory secondary education.

Taking into account all educational levels, Castilla y León, Comunidad de Madrid, Principado de Asturias, Aragón, País Vasco and Islas Canarias have an enrolment rate above the national mean.

Between the school years 1986-87 and 1996-97, enrolment has increased by around $5 \%$. In that ten year period, enrolment rates have nearly doubled in university education.
$8 \%$ of students in pre-primary education, $31 \%$ of those in primary education/EGB/first cycle of compulsory secondary education and $11 \%$ of secondary education and vocational training are financed with public funds in government dependent private schools.
$90 \%$ of students in university education are in public institutions.

Pre-primary education is the educational level with a higher rate of students in government independent private schools, and the largest rate of students in government dependent private schools are in primary education/EGB/first cycle of compulsory secondary education.

[^1]Chart: Students enrolled by every 100 people of the population between 3 and 29 years of age. School year 1996-97.


Table: Change enrollement rates by every 100 people between 3 and 29 years of age, by educational level and time series.

1986-87
1991-92
1996-97
All
education

| Pre-primary ed. | Primary ed/EECB ist cyde of ESO | Secondary ed. and VT | University ed. | All other education |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6,4 | 33,6 | 12,2 | 5,9 | 0,9 |
| 6,5 | 29,5 | 15,9 | 8,2 | 1,8 |
| 7,2 | 26,1 | 17,4 | 11,1 | 2,7 |

Table: Students enrolment by type of school financing and by educational level. (Percentages).

Public schools
Government dependent private schools Government independent private schools

| Pre-primary <br> ed. | Primary ed/EGB/ <br> 1st cyde of ESO | Secondary <br> and VT | University <br> ed. |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 67,6 | 66,2 | 75,1 | 89,6 |
| 8,0 | 30,5 | 10,9 |  |
| 24,4 | 3,3 | 14,0 | 10,4 |

# PARTICIPATION IN EDUCATION AND POPULATION 

## E2.1. Participation in education between the ages of 0 and 29


#### Abstract

Number of students (in thousands) enrolled at any educational level and not enrolled in each of the ages between 0 to 29. Ratio of students in the age group considered to total population in that age (net rate).


In the school year 1996-97, there is an inverted population pyramid at school enrolment ages between 0 and 29. Thus, in the typical ages of infant education, population does not reach 400,000 people at each year of age, in primary education it is around this figure, in compulsory secondary education they are around 500,000 , in postcompulsory secondary education they are more than 575,000 and in the ages of tertiary education, population at every age is more than 600,000 people.

In absolute figures, always referring to each year of age, the greatest number of enrolled people, around 500,000 , corresponds to ages from 12 to 15 , they are followed by around 450,000 students with ages between 6 to 11 and 16 to 18; between 347,000 and 400,000 students are 4 and 5 years old and 19 and 20 years old; between 132,000 and 288,000 are the 3 year old and 21 to 24 year old students; the smallest number of enrolled people corresponds to students between 0 and 2 years of age and of 25 to 29 years of age, which is less than 100,000 people.

In the school year 1996-97, enrolment rates between 0 and 29 years of age in descending order are: $100 \%$ in ages between 4 to 14; between $94 \%$ and $75 \%$ in ages between 15 to 17 ; between $65 \%$ and $50 \%$ at the ages of $3,18,19$ and 20 ; between $44 \%$ and $25 \%$ at the ages between 21 to 23 ; between $20 \%$ and $10 \%$ at the ages of 2,24 and 25 ; lastly, enrolment rates lower than $10 \%$ correspond to 0 to 1 and 26 to 29 years old students.

[^2]Chart: Population and enrolment rates by gender and educational levels. School-year 1996-97. (Thousands).


ELEn errolled population at those levets

Chart: National enrolment rates by age and educational level. School year 1996-97.


# PARTICIPATION IN EDUCATION AND POPULATION 

## E2.2. School expectancy at the age of 6

Average number of years that a 6 year-old child can expect to be enrolled in the education system, including university education.

A child of 6 years of age, enrolled in the education system in the school year 1996-97, has a school expectancy of 15 years, which means just over 2 years of enrolment more than a student in the school year 1986-87.

In the school-year 1996-97, women's school expectancy is up to 0.6 years longer than men's.

The Comunidades Autónomas with a higher average number of school enrolment years, above the national mean, are: Comunidad de Madrid, Castilla y León, Principado de Asturias, País Vasco, Aragón and Navarra.

[^3]Table: Trends in school expectancy at 6 years of age in education pertaining to the general system. (Average number of enrolment years)

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 8 6 - 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1 - 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 9 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Both genders | 12,9 | 14,0 | 15,0 |
| Men | 12,9 | 13,8 | 14,7 |
| Women | 12,9 | 14,2 | 15,3 |

Chart: School expectancy at 6 years of age in education pertaining to the general system, by gender and Comunidad Autónoma. School year 1996-97


## TRENDS IN ENROLMENT RATES AT AGES OF NON COMPULSORY LEVELS

## E3.1. Infant education

Change in the net enrolment rates of 3 to 5 year-olds and in the average number of years of enrolment in infant education.

Between the school years 1986-87 and 1996-97, schooling in infant education, at the age of 3 , has increased four fold, reaching an net enrolment rate of nearly $67 \%$.

During the same period, the net enrolment rate at the age of 4 , has risen from $86 \%$ to $99 \%$, and in 5 year-old students it has remained at $100 \%$.

In the school year 1996-97, the average enrolment in infant education is around 3 years, nearly 1 year more than 10 years before.

The longest average enrolment in infant education, 3.7 years, corresponds to Cataluña, and the shortest, 2 years, to Melilla.

[^4]Chart: Trend in enrolment rates in infant education.

Net Rates

|  | 3 years |  |  | 4 years |  |  | 5 years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 86-87 | 91-92 | 96-97 | 86-87 | 91-92 | 96-97 | 86-87 | 91-92 | 96-97 |
| Both genders | 16,7 | 38,5 | 66,7 | 86,4 | 97,2 | 99,1 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Boys | 16,2 | 37.9 | 65,9 | 84,3 | 96,9 | 98,5 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Girls | 17,2 | 39.1 | 67,6 | 88,7 | 97,5 | 99,8 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Average years of enrolment between 0 to 5 years of age.

|  | $\mathbf{8 6 - 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 - 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Both genders | 2,1 | 2,5 | 2,8 |
| Boys | 2,0 | 2,4 | 2,8 |
| Girls | 2,1 | 2,5 | 2,9 |

Chart: Average enrolment in infant education (0-5 years of age interval), both genders, by Comunidad Autónoma. School year 1996-97.


# TRENDS IN ENROLMENT RATES AT AGES OF NON COMPULSORY LEVELS 

## E3.2. Post-compulsory secondary education

Changes in net enrolment rates of typical ages in postcompulsory secondary education (14 to 19 years of age).

Between the school years 1986-87 and 1996-97 there is an increasing trend in net enrolment rates of typical ages in postcompulsory secondary education ( 14 to 19 years of age), with a higher increase in women's rates of enrolment as compared to men's.

In the school year 1986-87, the Comunidades Autónomas with a higher percentage of enrolment in post-compulsory secondary education are, at 16 years of age, País Vasco, Asturias and Castilla y León, and at 17 years of age, País Vasco, Asturias and La Rioja.

[^5]Chart: Change in net enrolment rates at 14 to 19 years of age, by gender.


Chart: Net enrolment rates at 16 and 17 years of age, by Comunidad Autónoma and gender. School-year 1996-97.


## TRENDS IN ENROLMENT RATES AT AGES OF NON COMPULSORY LEVELS

## E3.3. University education

Changes in participation in education net enrolment rates at 18 to 25 years of age, at levels of university education.

The increase in net university enrolment rates between the academic-years 1986-87 and 1996-97 was 6 percent at 18 and 25 years of age, and 12 percent at 20 and 21 years of age.

In the academic year 1986-87, men and women's net university enrolment rates were very similar between 18 and 22 years of age, nevertheless, 10 years later women's net rate surpasses men's considerably.

In the academic year 1996-97, women's net university enrolment rate surpasses men's between 18 and 24 years of age. Navarra, Pais Vasco, and Comunidad de Madrid are the Comunidades Autonomas with a higher proportion of 18 years-old population enrolled in university; these same Comunidades Autónomas, together with Aragón, Castilla y León and Asturias maintain this high proportion in university at 22 years of age.

## Sources:

- Students: Estadistica de la Enseñanza Universitaria, 1986-87, 1991-92 y

1996-97, INE.

- Population: Proyecciones de Población del INE (Based on Censo de

Población 1991).

Chart: Change in net enroiment rates in university education.


Table: Net enrolment rates at 18 to 25 years of age in university education. Academic year 1996-97.

|  | 18 aged |  | 22 aged |  | 25 aged |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women |
| NATIONAL MEAN | 16,7 | 24,2 | 24.7 | 31,3 | 13,8 | 12,8 |
| Andalucia | 14,4 | 19,9 | 23,4 | 28,7 | 11,8 | 11,2 |
| Aragón | 21,0 | 31,0 | 30,7 | 36,7 | 14,9 | 12,5 |
| Asturias (Principado de) | 17,2 | 25,1 | 27.8 | 36,1 | 11.9 | 10,9 |
| Baleares (Islas) | 8,3 | 14,0 | 13,2 | 19,8 | 9,9 | 11,2 |
| Canarias | 11,5 | 16,9 | 16,9 | 20,8 | 11,1 | 10,9 |
| Cantabria | 14,8 | 18,1 | 21,1 | 22,2 | 12,4 | 9,9 |
| Castilla y León | 19,8 | 31,7 | 28,9 | 39,2 | 14,3 | 15,3 |
| Castilla-La Mancha | 9,5 | 15.8 | 12,7 | 16,4 | 5,5 | 4,8 |
| Cataluña | 14,1 | 20,4 | 21,6 | 26,0 | 11,7 | 11.4 |
| Comunidad Valenciana | 14,5 | 22,4 | 23,7 | 30,1 | 11,6 | 10,7 |
| Extremadura | 8,3 | 12,3 | 16.7 | 21,0 | 8,2 | 7,4 |
| Galicia | 15,0 | 24,1 | 23,1 | 31,7 | 8,9 | 8,1 |
| Madrid (Comunidad de) | 25,6 | 34,1 | 32,8 | 43,5 | 16,6 | 14,4 |
| Murcia (Región de) | 14.7 | 22,6 | 19.6 | 26,1 | 10,4 | 10,3 |
| Navarra (Comunidad Foral de) | 27,6 | 41,3 | 27.6 | 35,8 | 9,6 | 7,3 |
| Pais Vasco | 24,7 | 36,7 | 27,0 | 34,4 | 9,7 | 9,1 |
| Rioja (La) | 13,4 | 19,5 | 21,5 | 24,4 | 8,3 | 5,9 |
| Ceuta | 1,9 | 5,2 | 5,7 | 13,1 | 1,9 | 3,5 |
| Melilla | 0,8 | 3,3 | 9,3 | 12,7 | 7,0 | 8,6 |

## ACCESS TO UNIVERSITY EDUCATION

Gross rate of population who passes the university entrance exam: ratio of students who pass the university entrance exam and the 18 year-old population in the year of reference.
Percentage distribution of new students in university education.

In 1996, the gross rate of population who passes the university entrance exam is $38 \%$. Men's is around $32 \%$ and women's nearly $45 \%$. Pais Vasco has the greatest rate, with $53 \%$. Over 9 years, the above mentioned rate has increased around $14 \%$, the women's rate being higher than the men's.

Of every 100 students who enrol for the first time in university, nearly half of them do it in the social sciences and laws, 22 in technical university studies, 11 in humanities, 8 in experimental sciences and 7 in health sciences.
$57 \%$ of students enrol in long-cycle university studies and the rest in medium-cycle ones.

[^6]Chat: Gross rate of population who passes the university entrance exam, by gender and by Comunidad Autónoma. 1996.


Table: Trend in gross rate of population who passes the university entrance exam by gender.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 23,6 | 30,7 | 38,0 |
| Men | 20,6 | 27,1 | 31,6 |
| Women | 26,7 | 34,4 | 44,8 |

Table: Percentage distribution of new students entering in the university, by gender. Academic year 1996-97.

|  | Area of studies |  |  |  |  | Cycle |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Experimental sciences | Health sciences | Social sc. and laws sc. | Humanities | Tedrical studies | Medium | Long |
| Total | 8,1 | 7,4 | 50,9 | 11,4 | 22,2 | 43,2 | 56,8 |
| Men | 8,3 | 4,2 | 42,4 | 9,3 | 35,8 | 43.7 | 56,3 |
| Women | 8,0 | 10,2 | 58,2 | 13,1 | 10,5 | 42,7 | 57,3 |

## EDUCATION OF STUDENTS WITH SPECIAL EDUCATIONAL NEEDS

Number of students diagnosed because of their disabilities as students with special educational needs, to every thousand students in compulsory education and infant education. Percentage distribution in special schools and integrated in regular schools.

During the school year 1996-97, 21 out of 1000 students on average have special educational needs. Of those, 16 are integrated in regular schools, and the rest are in specific schools.

The Comunidades Autónomas with a higher proportion of students with special educational needs attending regular schools compared with those attending specific schools are, Cantabria, Extremadura and Región de Murcia.

Approximately $79 \%$ of students with special educational needs are in public schools; the largest part of these, $85 \%$, are attending regular schools. The other $21 \%$ of students with special educational needs attend private schools, of which only $42 \%$ are integrated in regular schools.

There is a majority of students with multiple disabilities attending special schools, and a majority of students with motoric disabilities attending regular schooks.

Chart: Students with special educational needs to every 1000 students, by Comunidad Autónoma and type of school. School year 1996-97.


Table: Percentage distribution of students with special educational needs by type of school, and by public or private schools. School year 1996-97.

| Total | In special <br> schools | Integrated in <br> regular schools |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public schools | 78,8 | 14,6 | 85,4 |
| Private schools | 21,2 | 58,5 | 41,5 |

Table: Percentage distribution of students with special educational needs by type of disability and type of school. School year 1996-97.

|  | Total | In special <br> schools | Integrated in <br> regular schools |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auditory | 5,7 | 21,7 | 78,3 |
| Motoric | 6,6 | 8,4 | 91,6 |
| Psychical disability | 68,7 | 17,4 | 82,6 |
| Visual | 2,6 | 21,1 | 78,9 |
| Autism and personality disorder | 7,1 | 42,4 | 57,6 |
| Multiple disability | 9,3 | 62,6 | 37,4 |
| Total | 100 | 23,9 | 76,1 |

## MANAGEMENT TASKS

## P1.1. Management tasks in primary education schools

Average number of hours devoted to management tasks and their distribution in different aspects of the headteaching role: pedagogic leadership, administrative tasks, contacts with parents, professional development...

The profile of the headteacher of primary education is, according to their own opinions, the professional who, within the time devoted to management tasks, an average of 19 hours per week, spends more time in administrative activities, followed by pedagogical leadership activities.

Headteachers of private and large schools are the ones who state that they devote more effective time to tasks specifically related to the management of the school. In the internal distribution the headteachers make of the time each one dedicates to management tasks, it is seen that public school headteachers devote proportionally more time to administrative tasks than those in private schools, and inversely, the latter devote proportionally more time to pedagogical leadership.

Chart: Average weekly hours devoted to management tasks. 1995.


Chart: Percentage distribution of time devoted to management tasks. 1995.


Table: Percentage distribution of time devoted to management tasks by size and type of school.

| Management tasks | Schools |  |  | Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Smsill ( 8 hours) | Medium (15 hours) | Large (23 hours) | Public (17 hours) | Private (22 hours) |
| Pedagogical leadership | 26,6\% | 25,0\% | 27.8\% | 24,4\% | 31,6\% |
| Administrative tasks | 32,1\% | 36,4\% | 36,6\% | 38,6\% | 31,8\% |
| Contacts with parents | 13,3\% | 15,1\% | 15,1\% | 14,6\% | 15,8\% |
| Professional development | 20,0\% | 16,2\% | 13,3\% | 14,5\% | 14,2\% |
| Other non-teaching activities | 8,0\% | 7,3\% | 7,1\% | 7,9\% | 6,6\% |

## MANAGEMENT TASKS

## P1.2. Management tasks in compulsory secondary education schools

Degree in which the headmaster or devotes time to specific management tasks, according to the opinion of the members of the school council.

School council estimate that the time spent in management activities by the headteacher of their schools is on average, between 4 and 4.5 on a five-point scale. They also estimate that the headteacher is devoted to management tasks in the following order: contact with higher level organisms, school climate or coexistence, administration and management of the school, relationship with the students' families and, lastly, pedagogical activities related to the teaching staff.

Headteachers of government dependent private schools are seen as devoting more time to management tasks than headteachers of public schools, mainly in activities related to the teaching staff: work groups, training procedures...

Table: School council average estimation of the management tasks, on a 5 -point scale. 1997.
(Scale: 1 "nothing", 2 "a little", 3 "medium", 4 "quite a lot", 5 "a lot")

## Average

Work with teaching staff
4,02
School administration and management
4,38
Relationship with higher level organisms 4,44
Contacts with parents
4,37
School climate 4,44

Chart: Estimation of the management tasks by type of school.
(Scale: 1 "nothing", 2 "a little", 3 "medium", 4 "quite a lot", 5 "a lot")


## PARENTAL PARTICIPATION IN SCHOOLS

## P2.1. Parental participation in primary education schools

Management team's opinion about the percentage of parents taking part in different types of school activities and families evaluation about their own participation in some of these activities.

In 1995, in primary education schools, according to the headteachers, approximately two out of ten student's parents take part in complementary or non-curricular activities, such as trips, library, school feasts, and support activities; and only $2 \%$ of parents take part in the teaching-learning process.

Parental participation is more or less the same in public and private schools, except for the support activities of the schools maintenance, where the parents with children in private schools are more involved. In small schools, parents participate more than in medium sized or large ones, in complementary or non-curricular activities and in other support activities.

Students' parents do not think their participation in the school is important, as one or two out of three student's parents think their participation in the school is of very little or no importance.

[^7]Chart: Parental participation by
school size. 1995.
(Average percentage).


Table: Percentage of families that consider their participation in the school to be "null or very little".

| School activities | Parents of children of | Null or very little |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Helping in changes and | 8 años | 58,2\% |
| improvements in the classroom | 12 años | 60,2\% |
| Accompanying on | 8 años | 42,9\% |
| school trips | 12 años | 54,3\% |
| Collaborating with | 8 años | 32,9\% |
| study matters | 12 años | 38,8\% |
| Organising school trips | 8 años | 33,6\% |
|  | 12 años | 40,4\% |

## PARENTAL PARTICIPATION IN SCHOOLS

## P2.2. Membership of students' parents associations

## Percentage of parents belonging to students' parents associations of the school.

According to parents, membership of students' parents associations is higher in families of compulsory secondary education students than in those of primary education, but parents belonging to these associations participate more in them in primary education ages than in compulsory secondary education ages.

In private schools, membership of students' parents associations is higher than in public ones, even though the participation is higher in public schools. In private schools the majority of parents only pay the membership fee.

Membership of parents' associations is lower in schools situated in less than 10,000 inhabitants towns.

Table: Membership of parents' associations by type of school and size of municipality. 1995 and 1997.

|  | Parents of children aged |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Baged | 12 aged | 14 aged | 16 aged |
| Total | $60,0 \%$ | $57,3 \%$ | $68,5 \%$ | $62,4 \%$ |
| Public schools | $57,8 \%$ | $55,0 \%$ | $65,5 \%$ | $57,9 \%$ |
| Private schools | $62,9 \%$ | $60,3 \%$ | $73,4 \%$ | $72,6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10,000 inhabitants | $59,8 \%$ | $49,6 \%$ | $67,2 \%$ | $56,9 \%$ |
| More than 10,000 inhabitants | $62,5 \%$ | $60,9 \%$ | $70,5 \%$ | $65,7 \%$ |

Chat: Participation in parents' associations by type of school. (Parents percentage).


## TEACHERS' TEAMWORK

## P3.1. Teachers' teamwork in primary education schools

## Headteachers' opinions about the frequency teachers work as a team in some aspects of the school life.

According to headteachers in primary education schools in 1995. teachers of primary education co-operate and meet to work in a team more for planning and support activities, and less for analysis and reflection on educational practice.

Teachers in large schools meet with a significantly higher average frequency than teachers in medium sized or small schools. in general planning activities, and specially in class preparation, equipment, and curriculum planning. There is no significant statistical difference in the average frequency of teachers' meetings between public and private schools.

Chart: Average frequency of teachers' teamwork. 1995.


Table: Teachers' teamwork by type of activity, according to size and type of school. (Scale: 1 "never", 2 "once a year", 3 "2-4 times per year", 4 " 5.9 times per year", 5 "every month", 6 "every week", 7 "every day").

|  | Schools |  |  | Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small | Medium | Large | Public | Private |
| Planning activities | 4,53 | 4,82 | 5,19 | 5,01 | 4,92 |
| Analysis and reflection activities | 4,16 | 4,11 | 3,94 | 4,06 | 3,93 |

## TEACHERS' TEAMWORK

## P3.2. Teachers' teamwork in compulsory secondary education

## Percentage of teachers who state they work in groups in different areas of the school life.

Between 6 and 7 out of 10 teachers teaching in compulsory secondary education in the school-year 1996-97, "habitually or always" work as a team in global activities of planning and analysing teaching task. A little more than $70 \%$ of teachers do it in specific activities like decision-making based on evaluation results, laying down guidelines to deal with future disciplinary problems, establishing evaluation criteria and discussing difficulties found in the teaching-learning process. In contrast, only $30 \%$ of teachers state working in group with their colleges for class preparation and their didactic development.

Generally, teachers in private education show a significantly higher average frequency of team-work both in the analysis of educational tasks and in some planning of teaching activities.

Sources: Teachers questionnaire "La funcion docente" from the research: Diagnóstico del sistema educativo. La escuela secundaria obligatoria. INCE. 1997.

Table: Percentage of teachers working as a team. 1997.

| Planning of educational tasks | Never or <br> sometimes | Habitually or <br> always |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Global | $37 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| Anticipate problems of discipline |  | $72 \%$ |
| Evaluation criteria |  | $71 \%$ |
| Department planning |  | $68 \%$ |
| Organisation of didactic material | $51 \%$ |  |
| Class preparation | Never or | Habitually <br> sometimes |
| or always |  |  |

Chart: Teachers working "habitually or always" as a team, by type of school.


## TEACHING STYLE

## P4.1. Teaching style in primary education

Percentage of teachers in primary education who report doing specific didactic activities, using specific equipment and didactic resources and using certain evaluation procedures with a high frequency.

More than three quarters of the primary education teachers report allowing students' participation while they explain, proposing individual reports, using the textbook and class library and evaluating students progress through observation with a high frequency. Less than a quarter of the teachers explain not allowing students' participation, suggest an integrated learning in workshops, homework and video and retro-projector use.

Teachers with longer teaching experience, in a higher proportion than teachers with less years of academic activity, tend to use some pedagogical techniques with a higher frequency: show a higher frequency with : explanations allowing students' participation, work proposals both individual and indirected participating groups, use of textbook and test administration.

Table: Percentage of teachers that "habitually or always" use the following pedagogical techniques. 1995. (Teachers of 8 year-old students).

| Didactic activities |  | Evaluation procedures |  | Resources use |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teacher explanation with participation | 92\% | Observation of work | 100\% | Textbook | 84\% |
| Teacher explanation without participation | 8\% | Observation of notebooks | 89\% | Material prepared by the teacher | 74\% |
| Students individual work | 85\% | Observation of oral participation | 94\% | Manipulative equipment | 55\% |
| Group work | 58\% | Observation scales | 66\% | Class library | 80\% |
| Group work with exposition | 32\% | Tests | 30\% | Video | 14\% |
| Work in workshops | 24\% |  |  | Retro-projector | 3\% |
| Homework | 24\% |  |  |  |  |

Chart: Differences in teachers' percentages in the "habitually or always" implementation of pedagogical practices, by academic experience. Selection of significant statistical differences. (Teachers of 8 year old students).


## TEACHING STYLE

## P4.2. Teaching style in compulsory secondary education

> Percentage of teachers in compulsory secondary education who report doing specific didactic activities, using specific equipment and didactic resources and certain evaluation procedures with a high frequency.

About three quarters of the compulsory secondary education teachers report explaining with student participation, proposing individual students' reports, using material elaborated by themselves and the textbook, and evaluating students' progress through non formal observation of students' work with a high frequency. Less than a quarter of the teachers explain without allowing students' participation, propose research tasks, use newspapers and computers, and evaluate through oral exams.

Teachers with longer teaching experience, in a higher proportion than teachers with less years of experience, state to carry out the majority of the pedagogical techniques they were asked for.

Sources: Teachers questionnaire from the research: Diagnóstico del sistema educativo. La escuela secundaria obligatoria. INCE. 1997.

Table: Percentage of teachers that use the following pedagogical techniques with "quite a lot or a lot" frequency. 1997.

| Didactic activities |  | Evaluation procedures |  | Resources use |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teacher explanation with participation | $75 \%$ | Observation of work | 81\% | Textbook | 77\% |
| Teacher explanation without participation | 10\% | Observation of notebooks | 61\% | Material prepared by the teacher | 81\% |
| Students individual work | 73\% | Observation of oral participation | 54\% | Library books | 38\% |
| Group work | 45\% | Observation scales | 41\% | Newspapers | 21\% |
| Group work with exposition | 31\% | Oral exams | 23\% | Audio-visual media | 31\% |
| Research tasks | 16\% | Short questions exams | 51\% | Computers | 17\% |
| Homework | 55\% | Exams on a theme | 34\% |  |  |
|  |  | Tests | 38\% |  |  |

Chart: Differences in percentages teachers that carry out pedagogical practices implementation with "quite lot or a lot" frequency, by academic experience.


## STUDENTS' ACTIVITIES OUT OF SCHOOL TIME

## P5.1. School homework

Time devoted by the student to do his or her homework, according to his or her own statement.

In 1995, $94 \%$ of 12 year-old students in primary education, and in 1997, the same percentage of 16 year-old students in compulsory secondary education, do homework and devote to it more than one hour and a half per day, on average, according to their own statements.

Girls of both ages devote more time than boys to do the homework.

12 year-old students in public schools, devote less time to do their homework than those in private schools, although at 16 years of age the time devoted by both groups of students is more or less the same.

Table: Time devoted by the student to do his or her homework, by gender and type of school. 1995 and 1997. (Students' percentages).

| 12 year-old students |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Global | Boy | Girl | Pubitic | Private |
| I do not have homework | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | 1\% | $2 \%$ | 1\% |
| I have homework, but I do not do it | $4 \%$ | 6\% | $2 \%$ | 5\% | 2\% |
| 1 hour or less | 31\% | 37\% | 25\% | $35 \%$ | 26\% |
| 2 hours | 40\% | 38\% | 42\% | 40\% | 41\% |
| 3 hours | 13\% | 10\% | 16\% | 11\% | 16\% |
| More than 3 hours | 10\% | 7\% | 13\% | 8\% | 14\% |
| 16 year-old students |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Global | Boy | Girl | Public | Private |
| None | 6\% | 9\% | $3 \%$ | 6\% | 6\% |
| Up to one hour | 18\% | 24\% | 13\% | 18\% | 17\% |
| From 1 to 2 hours | 33\% | 35\% | 31\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| From 2 to 3 hours | $32 \%$ | 25\% | 38\% | 31\% | $33 \%$ |
| More than 3 hours | 12\% | 7\% | 16\% | 12\% | 12\% |

Chart: Average time devoted to do homework. (Hours).


## STUDENTS' ACTIVITIES OUT OF SCHOOL TIME

## P5.2. Non-curricular activities

## Percentage of students that do activities considered to be non-curricular.

Sports are the main non-curricular activity done by a major percentage of students, both in primary education ages and in compulsory secondary education: approximately $50 \%$ and $70 \%$, respectively. They are followed, in this order, by: foreign languages, music, ballet or dance and computers.

Students in compulsory secondary education ages do all these non-curricular activities in a higher percentage than students in primary education ages. Students in private education do the majority of these activities in a higher proportion than students in public education.

Around 7\% of students in primary education and about $33 \%$ of students in compulsory secondary education, attend reinforcement lessons, being higher the percentage among students in private education than in public education.

[^8]Chart: Percentage of students doing non-curricular activities. 1995 and 1997.


Table: Percentage of students doing non-curricular activities by type of school. Selection of significant statistical differences.

|  | Student's age | Public schools | Private schools |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sports | 8 | 42\% | 48\% |
| Foreign languages | 8 | 8\% | 23\% |
|  | 12 | 14\% | 24\% |
|  | 14 | 32\% | 51\% |
|  | 16 | 33\% | 40\% |
| Music | 8 | 7\% | 9\% |
|  | 14 | 21\% | 27\% |
|  | 16 | 16\% | 20\% |
| Computers | 12 | 6\% | 10\% |
|  | 14 | 19\% | 23\% |
|  | 16 | 15\% | 20\% |
| Ballet/dance | 14 | 21\% | 17\% |
|  | 16 | 13\% | 18\% |
| Reinforcement lessons | 12 | 9\% | 12\% |
|  | 14 | 28\% | $33 \%$ |

## IN-SERVICE TEACHER TRAINING

## P6.1. In-service training of primary education teachers

## Percentage of primary education teachers that have taken part in courses and other activities of in-service training.

Areas in which the primary education teaching staff has received training, according to their own statements.

In 1995, around $60 \%$ of primary education teachers said that, in the last 5 years, they have frequently participated in training courses, and $12 \%$ stated they have participated frequently in training activities as seminars, research and innovation groups, etc. Within the same period of time, teachers have taken part in five training courses on average, and nearly three quarters of the teachers have done it in three different types of training activities. Preparation of curricular projects has been the area where more teachers admitted receiving training, and evaluation of pedagogic practice is the area where less teachers have been trained.

There are proportionally more teachers in private education than in public education that report doing a higher number of courses and other training activities.

Sources: Teacher questionnaires from the research: Evaluacion de la educación primaria. INCE. 1995.

Table: Percentage of teachers who report having participated in training courses and other training activities, by type of school. 1995 (Teachers of 12 year-old students).

Courses

| No | 2\% |  | Gfobal | Public schools | Private schoots |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes, sometimes | 39\% | From 1 to 4 courses | 48\% | 54\% | 37\% |
| Yes, frequently | 59\% | 5 or more courses | 52\% | 46\% | 63\% |

Other training activities

| No | 29\% |  | Global | Public schools | Private schools |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes, sometimes | 59\% | From 1 to 2 activities | 59\% | 64\% | 49\% |
| Yes, frequently | 12\% | 3 or more activities | 41\% | $36 \%$ | 51\% |

Chart: Percentage of teachers that report having received training in the following areas. (Teachers of 12 year old students).


## IN-SERVICE TEACHER TRAINING

## P6.2. In-service training of compulsory secondary school teachers

Percentage of compulsory secondary education teachers that have taken part in courses and other in-service training activities.
Areas in which the compulsory lower secondary education teaching staff has received training, according to their own statements.

In 1997, at least $91 \%$ of compulsory secondary education teaching staff reports to have taken part, in some kind of training course in the last 3 years, and at least 71\% states having participated in other training activities. Teachers of public education have participated proportionally more than teachers of private education in courses of more than 50 hours, although the latter have participated more in courses of less than 50 hours and in other training activities.

Between $68 \%$ and $90 \%$ of teachers admit having received some kind of in-service training in several areas related to classroom work, the most outstanding ones have been the selection and organisation of contents, the selection and design of activities, and the learning evaluation procedures.

Table: Percentage of teachers that report having participated in training courses and other training activities, by type of school. 1997.

| Courses |  |  |  | Other training | activities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 50 hours | Global | Public schools | Private schools | Innovation projects | Global | Public schools | Private schools |
| No | 9\% | 9\% | 6\% | No | 32\% | 34\% | 29\% |
| Yes | 91\% | 91\% | 94\% | Yes | 68\% | 66\% | 71\% |
| More than 50 hours | Global | Public schools | Private shools | School Training courses | Global | Public schools | Private schools |
| No | 33\% | 31\% | 37\% | No | 29\% | 31\% | 23\% |
| Yes | 67\% | 69\% | 63\% | Yes | $71 \%$ | 69\% | 77\% |
| External | Global | Public schook | Private schools | Participation in day-courses | Clobal | Public schools | Private schools |
| No | 66\% | 66\% | 67\% | No | $33 \%$ | $36 \%$ | 26\% |
| Yes | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | 33\% | Yes | 67\% | 64\% | $74 \%$ |

Chart: Percentage of teachers who report having received training in the following areas.


## CLASSROOM AND SCHOOL CLIMATE

## P7.1. Climate in primary education schools

Percentage of students and teachers of primary education with a high degree of satisfaction with their mutual relationship (classroom climate).
Percentage of primary education teachers with a high degree of satisfaction with their mutual relationship and with the management team (school climate).

In 1995, in primary education schools, both classroom climate and school climate are generally satisfactory, as $80 \%$ or more of students and teachers show a high degree of satisfaction in the relationship with their colleges, among them and with the management team.

The high degree of satisfaction decrease a little between students and teachers in the last courses of primary education, with respect to the first ones. Generally, there are proportionally more students and teachers in private than in public education with high degree of satisfaction with the relationships within the school.

Chart: Percentage of students and teachers with a high degree of satisfaction in primary education schools. 1995.

High satisfaction in classrooms of...


High satisfaction in schools by...


## CLASSROOM AND SCHOOL CLIMATE

## P7.2. Climate in schools with students in the age group of compulsory secondary education

Percentage of students and teachers in schools with students in the age of compulsory secondary education with a high degree of satisfaction in their mutual relationship (classroom climate).
Percentage of teachers in schools with compulsory secondary education, who believe that there exists a high degree of co-operation between the different bodies of the school. (School climate).

In classrooms of compulsory secondary education schools, the largest number of people with good relationships are firstly students, in relation to their colleagues, $93 \%$ of students, followed by students with their teachers, $76 \%$ of students, and, lastly, teachers with their students, $69 \%$ of teachers.

More than 7 out of every 10 teachers think that a good cooperation climate exists among the members of the management team, in the departments and in the teachers board, in this order.

Private education teachers report having a higher degree of satisfaction with their students in a higher proportion than those in public education, and they perceive a high degree of co-operation amoungthe teaching staff, in the school council, and in their school management teams.

[^9]Chart: Percentage of students and teachers with a high degree of satisfaction in compulsory secondary education schools. 1997.


Table: High degree of co-operation within different bodies of the school, according to teachers' opinion.

|  | Global | Public schools | Private schools |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Management team | 86\% | 84\% | 89\% |
| Departments | 81\% | 80\% | 84\% |
| Teaching staff | 74\% | 67\% | 89\% |
| Pedagogical co-ordination commission | 69\% | 68\% | 73\% |
| School council | 63\% | 59\% | 71\% |

## 12 YEAR-OLD STUDENTS' ACHIEVEMENT

## Rs1.1. Achievement in Spanish Language


#### Abstract

Average percentage of correct answers of students in the Spanish Language test corresponding to primary education evaluation and administrated to students of the 6 th grade of $E G B$.


The average performance achieved by 12 year-old students in comprehension and writing, measured in the Spanish Language test, has been 64 on a 0 to 100 point scale. The average performance of students in private schools is significantly higher than that of students in public schools, and on average, girls' performance significantly surpasses boys'.

Achievement differences between students in public schools compared with those of private ones, and that of boys compared with girls, can be found as in low as in high achievement groups. but not in the medium achievers. So, within the low achievement group, there is a higher percentage of students in public schools than in private ones, and there is a higher percentage of boys than of girls. The opposite occurs in the high achievement group, where there is a higher percentage of students in private schools than in public schools, and a higher percentage in girls than in boys, too.

Chart: Average percentage of correct answers of 12 year-old students in Spanish Language by type of school and gender. 1995.


Chart: Students' percentages in the different achievement levels in Spanish Language.

|  | Global | Public | Private | Boys | Girls |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $25,2 \%$ | $33,3 \%$ | $14,4 \%$ | $31,0 \%$ | $19,5 \%$ |  |
| Low achievement | $49,3 \%$ | $48,4 \%$ | $50,5 \%$ | $48,2 \%$ | $50,3 \%$ |  |
| Medium achievement | $25,5 \%$ | $18,3 \%$ | $35,1 \%$ | $20,8 \%$ | $30,2 \%$ |  |
| High achievement |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 12 YEAR-OLD STUDENTS' ACHIEVEMENT

## Rs1.2. Achievement in Mathematics

Average percentage of correct answers of students in the Mathematics test corresponding to primary education evaluation and administrated to students of the 6th grade of EGB.

The average percentage of correct answers of 12 year-old students in Mathematics has been the $50 \%$ of the total test. The average students' achievement in private schools has been significantly higher than that in public ones, and on average, girls' performance has significantly surpassed boys'.

Public schools have a lower percentage of students with a high achievement and a larger number of low achievers than private schools. Something similar occurs with students of different genders: there is higher percentage of girls in high achievement groups and lower percentage in low achievement groups than of boys.

Chart: Average percentage of correct answers per student in Mathematics, by type of school and gender. 1995.


Table: Students' percentages in different achievement levels in Mathematics.

|  | Global | Public | Private | Boys | Girls |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low achievement | $26,7 \%$ | $33,4 \%$ | $17,8 \%$ | $28,9 \%$ | $24,1 \%$ |
| Medium achievement | $47,1 \%$ | $46,3 \%$ | $48,2 \%$ | $46,2 \%$ | $48,3 \%$ |
| High achievement | $26,1 \%$ | $20,3 \%$ | $34,0 \%$ | $24,9 \%$ | $27,5 \%$ |

## 16 YEAR-OLD STUDENTS' ACHIEVEMENT

## Rs2.1. Achievement in reading comprehension

Percentage of students achieving reading comprehension scores in each of the defined intervals on a 0 to 500 scale, separated by fixed cut-points between them.

Over $50 \%$ of 16 year old students obtained reading comprehension scores in the interval between level 251 and 300 , on a 0 to 500 scale. $23 \%$ of students had a higher performance, above level 300 , and $27 \%$ had a lower performance, with scores inferior to 251 .

The average performance in reading comprehension of 16 yearold students has been higher in public schools than in private ones. and the girls scores have been significantly higher than those of boys.

Theres is a higher percentage of students with medium level performance, that is, with scores in the 251 to 300 interval, in public than in the private education. On the contrary, the percentage of students with low and high achievement level are higher in private schools. Girrs' percentage is higher than boys' among medium and high performance students.

Chart: Typical knowledge, skills and abilities of students in the different reading comprehension levels.

```
Level 150 - Understanding specific statements from useful texts:
    - Integrating textual information to solve problems from informative texts.
Level 200 * Recognising the meaning of specific words, recognising the theme or main idea and establishing the
        temporal sequence from useful texts.
Level }250\mathrm{ * Understanding the meaning of abstract or uncommon words.
    * Understanding the literal sense (central or main idea, character, authorOs thesis), explain the main ideas and evaluate rhetorical elements from informative texts.
- Integrating information from informative and literary texts to achieve a new one and recognise double meanings.
Level 300 . Understanding secondary ideas and complex syntactic statements from informative texts.
- Recognising and explaining figurative sense and double meaning from informative and liferary texts.
Level 350 . Understanding abstract ideas from informative texts
Level 400 - Understanding abstract ideas from useful and informative texts
- Recognising and evaluating the ideas accuracy and the thesis sustained in informative texts,
* Explaining the meaning of rhetorical procedures used in literary texts,
```

Table: Reading comprehension scores distribution of 16 year-old students. 1997.

|  | Global | Public | Private | Boys | Girts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101-150 | 0,09\% | 0,08\% | 0,12\% | 0,13\% | 0,05\% |
| 151-200 | 4,7\% | 4,3\% | 5,5\% | 5,2\% | 3,1\% |
| 201-250 | 22,3\% | 22,0\% | 23,1\% | 24,8\% | 20,4\% |
| 251-300 | 50,2\% | 51,3\% | 47,6\% | 48,0\% | 52,5\% |
| 301-350 | 21,3\% | 20,8\% | 22,3\% | 20,4\% | 22,4\% |
| 351-400 | 1,5\% | 1,5\% | 1,5\% | 1,4\% | 1,5\% |

Chat: Average performance scores in reading comprehension.


## 16 YEAR-OLD STUDENTS' ACHIEVEMENT

## Rs2.2. Achievement in linguistic rules and Literature

Percentage of students achieving linguistic rules and Literature scores in each of the defined intervals on a 0 to 500 scale, separated by fixed cut-points between them.

Over $40 \%$ of 16 year-old students obtained linguistic rules and Literature scores in the interval between 251 and 300 , on a 0 to 500 scale. A little more than $24 \%$ of students had a higher achievement, above level 300 , and nearly $36 \%$ had a lower achievement, with scores inferior to 251 of the scale.

Average linguistic rules and Literature academic performance score of 16 year-old students has been higher in private than in public schools, and the girls' scores have been higher than those of boys'.

The percentage of students with a medium achievement, that is, with scores in the 251 to 300 interval, is higher in public than in private schools. As far as gender is concerned, girls' percentage is higher than boys' in high achievement students, the opposite occurs in low achievement students, where boys' percentage is the highest.

## Chart: Typical knowledge, skills and abilities of students in the different linguistic rules and literature levels.

```
Level 200 * Identifying words from a sentence context as being nouns.
    - Recognising the synonym from a given list of words.
Level 250 - Knowing impersonal verb forms in irregular conjugations.
    * Knowing polysemy and antonymy, identifying the latter in text analysis, and understanding logical-semantic
        parallelisms
    - Identifying the grammatical sentence as a linguistic unit, knowing its modes and appropriately assigning the
        function of subject and direct complement to nominal syntagms from a simple sentence context.
    * Knowing the definition of "arte mayor* verse in the Spanish metrics, the recognisable characteristics of fable and
        comedy, and associating the title of a classical novel to the literary sub-genre belonging to (picaresque).
Level 300 - Identifying the noun as a morphological category, apart from a sentence context, and as determinants words from
        a sentence context.
    - Identifying subordination in complex sentences, and adequately attributing the function of a nominal syntagm
        from the context of a simple sentence.
    - Knowing the main parts of a speech.
    - Applying the rules for syllabic computation, rhyme and verse type to verse analysis; identifying epic genre from a
        text and personification as a literary figure predominant in a prose fragment.
    - Identifying the oldest from different classical written works up to the XVI century, and recognising significant poets
        of the XVII century as being coetaneous.
Level 350 - Identifying the subject from a simple sentence that incorporates a personal pronoun in tonic and atonic form
        function of complement.
    * Understanding the meaning of a colloquial Latin sentence.
    - Knowing the objective of a demonstrative text type
    - Knowing the name of specific poetic styles (elegy, lyric), identifying characteristic grammatical genre elements, and
        recognising from a text analysis, simile as a literary figure.
    - Associating romanticism to the kind of atmospheres created in works of this period, and assigning its corresponding
        author to the first Spanish grammar.
Level 400 - Knowing the meaning assigned to a figurative word from a certain set-phrase, and that of infrequent words.
    - Correctly assigning the subject function to a subordinate proposition from a complex sentence context.
```

Table: Linguistic rules and Literature scores distribution of 16 year-old students. 1997.

|  | Global | Public | Private | Boys | Girls |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 151-200 | 8,0\% | 7,9\% | 8,1\% | 8,0\% | 6,9\% |
| 201-250 | 27,8\% | 27,2\% | 29,1\% | 29,5\% | 26,4\% |
| 251-300 | 40,1\% | 42,3\% | 34,7\% | 40,1\% | 40,4\% |
| 301-350 | 21,2\% | 20,2\% | 23,5\% | 19,6\% | 23,1\% |
| 351-400 | 2,9\% | 2,3\% | 4,4\% | 2,8\% | 3,1\% |
| 401-450 | 0,08\% | 0,06\% | 0,12\% | 0,06\% | 0,09\% |

Chart: Average performance scores in linguistic rules and Literature.


## 16 YEAR-OLD STUDENTS' ACHIEVEMENT

## Rs2.3. Achievement in Mathematics

Percentage of students achieving Mathematics scores in each of the defined intervals on a 0 to 500 scale, separated by fixed cut-points between them.

Over $39 \%$ of 16 year old students obtained Mathematics scores in the interval 251-300, on a 0 to 500 scale. $23 \%$ of students had a higher performance, above level 300, and a little more than $38 \%$ had a lower performance, with scores inferior to the 251 level of the scale.

Globally, the average score in Mathematics has been higher in public than in private schools, and boys' scores have been higher than those of girls.

The percentage of students with a medium or high achievement level, that is, with scores in the 251-300 and 301-350 intervals, is slightly higher in public than in private schools, but low performance students are a higher percentage in private schools.

Boys' percentage is higher than girls' among students with a medium or high achievement. The opposite occurs among low achievers, where girls' percentage is higher than boys'.

Chart: Typical knowledge, skills and abilities of students in the different Mathematics levels.

```
Level 150 . Knowing how to work with easy fractions.
Level 200 - Solving everyday simple problems requiring easy calculations, estimations and making up to round numbers o
    intuitive statistical concepts.
    - Understanding easy charts.
Level 250 . Solving easy problems of numerical proportion (rule of three) and percentage problems.
    - Solving simple equations.
    - Having notions about equality and similarity of geometric figures.
    - Knowing the LaplaceOs Law, understanding frequency charts and making simple graphs.
Level 300 . Easy use of fractions.
    - Beginning to use algebra to solve practical problems.
    - Easy solving of numerical proportions problems.
    * Knowing how to calculate and estimate perimeters and plane figure areas, and knowing length and surface units.
Level 350 - Easy representation of plane figures and geometric bodies, knowing how to calculate and estimate geometric
        volumes, knowing volume units, and making out geometric transformations.
    * Solving complex numeric proportion and percentage problems.
    - Use of powers in problem solving.
    - Using algebraical language to solve simple problems.
    - Understanding basic statistic concepts, and being able to calculate sample parameters.
Level 400 - Easy use of algebraical language.
    - Having a high ability to estimate plane figure areas and geometric bodies volumes.
    - Calculating probabilities from events associated with complex randomised trials.
```

Table: Mathematics score distribution of 16 year-old students. 1997.

|  | Global | Public | Private | Boys | Girls |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101-150 | 0,06\% | 0,05\% | 0,08\% | 0,08\% | 0,04\% |
| 151-200 | 10,2\% | 9,3\% | 12,4\% | 9,4\% | 10,3\% |
| 201-250 | 28,2\% | 27,9\% | 29,0\% | 24,4\% | 31,3\% |
| 251-300 | 39,1\% | 40,2\% | 36,2\% | 38,7\% | 39,8\% |
| 301-350 | 19,7\% | 19,9\% | 19,2\% | 23,2\% | 17,0\% |
| 351-400 | 2,8\% | 2,6\% | 3,1\% | 4,3\% | 1,6\% |

Chat: Average performance scores in Mathematics.


## DEVELOPMENT OF ATTITUDES AND VALUES

## Rs3.1. Expression of the students' behaviours

Families opinions about the degree in wich students express certain types of behaviours: aggressiveness, competitiveness, consumerism, independence, self-esteem and personal appearance.

According to their parents, 12 and 16 year-old students show in general a high degree of personal appearance, self-esteem and independence, a medium degree of competitiveness and consumerism, and a low degree of aggressiveness.

Amoung 12 year-old students' parents, those stating that their children show a high or very high degree of independence, competitiveness and self-esteem, have a higher percentage of university studies level than those with lower study levels. On the contrary, there are higher percentages of parents without studies or with primary studies stating their children show a higher degree of personal appearance and hygiene and consumerism.

Amoung 16 year-old students' parents, the percentage of parents with university studies stating their children have a high degree of independence is higher than that of parents with lower study levels; in contrast, the percentage of parents stating their children show a high degree of competitiveness and personal appearance is higher in parents without studies or with primary studies.

[^10]Chart: Degree in wich certain behaviours are expressed by students, according to parents' statements. 1995 and 1997. (Parents percentage).


Table: High degree of students' behaviour expression, by parents' study level. (Parents' percentage).

|  | 12 year old students |  |  | 16 year old students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No or primary studies | Bachillerato or VT | University studies | No or primary studies | Bachille rato or VT | University studies |
| Aggressiveness | 8 | 6 | 5 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Consumerism | 30 | 24 | 21 | 38 | 37 | 37 |
| Competitiveness | 31 | 36 | 37 | 57 | 56 | 50 |
| Independence | 46 | 50 | 56 | 74 | 76 | 82 |
| Self-esteem | 59 | 58 | 63 | 82 | 83 | 83 |
| Personal care and hygiene | 72 | 64 | 62 | 98 | 96 | 94 |

## DEVELOPMENT OF ATTITUDES AND VALUES

## Rs3.2. Importance of the education on attitudes and values at the age of compulsory secondary education

Degree of importance given by families and teachers to some education aspects: environmental education, consumer education, education for peace, for health, and sex education.

More than $75 \%$ of parents and more than $65 \%$ of compulsory secondary education teachers give quite a lot or a lot of importance, in this order, to health education, education for peace, environmental education, sex education and consumer education.

Parents with equal or higher study levels than bachillerato, give more importance to sex education, environmental education, for peace and health education, than parents with no studies or primary studies. The opposite occurs in consumer education, where percentages are higher among parents with a low study level.

Chart: Parents distribution by degree of importance they give to the following educational aspects. 1997.


Table: Parents percentage who give "quite a lot or a lot" of importance to the following educational aspects, by their study levels.

|  | No or primary <br> studies | Bachillerato <br> or VT | University <br> studies |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health education | 93,7 | 95,8 | 95,7 |
| Education for peace | 90,8 | 94,1 | 93,7 |
| Environmental education | 82,9 | 88,6 | 89,0 |
| Sex education | 77,3 | 85,5 | 84,6 |
| Consumer education | 77,0 | 76,2 | 73,6 |

Chart: Teachers distributions by degree of importance they give to the following educational aspects.


# ADECUACY OF STUDENTS' AGE IN COMPULSORY EDUCATION 

Percentage of students enrolled in the course or courses theoretically corresponding to their age.

The percentage of students enrolled in the course or courses that they should theoretically be in, is lower as the students' age increases. Thus, in the school year 1996-97, $96 \%$ of 8 year-old students where enrolled in the course theoretically correspondent to their age. This percentage decreases to $86 \%$ in 12 year-old students, and reaches $60 \%$ in 15 year-old students.

In this same course, females have, at all ages, a higher ageadequacy rate than males, differences increasing progressively the greater the age considered is.

Within the period 1986-87 to 1996-97, the percentage of students enrolled in the courses correspondent to their age, increased considerably at all compulsory education ages, the increase being higher at 12 and 13 years of age, and lower at 15 years of age.

Chart: Students age-adequacy rates in compulsory education ages, by Comunidad Autónoma. School year 1996-97.


Table: Changes in age-adequacy in compulsory education ages.

Aged 8
Aged 10

|  | 86-87 | 91.92 | 96-97 | 86-87 | 91.92 | 96-97 | 86-87 | $91-92$ | 96-97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Both genders | 90,2 | 91,4 | 96,5 | 87.2 | 89.0 | 93,5 | 74,8 | 77,3 | 85,5 |
| Men | 89,8 | 89,8 | 95.7 | 85,4 | 87.1 | 92,2 | 71.8 | 73,6 | 82,7 |
| Women | 91,9 | 93,1 | 97.3 | 89.1 | 90,9 | 94,9 | 78,0 | 81,1 | 88,6 |

Aged 13
Aged 14
Aged 15

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 86-87 | 91.92 | 96-97 | 86-87 | 91.92 | 96-97 | 86-87 | 91-92 | 96-97 |
| Both genders | 69,3 | 72,9 | 81,1 | 63,3 | 67,1 | 70,7 | 55,7 | 59,2 | 60,0 |
| Men | 65,7 | 68,7 | 77,6 | 60,3 | 62,6 | 65,8 | 51,7 | 54,9 | 54,9 |
| Women | 73,0 | 77.4 | 84,8 | 66,5 | 71.8 | 75,9 | 60,2 | 63.7 | 65,5 |

## GRADUATION RATES

## Relation between the number of graduates in each of the considered education levels and the population with the typical age of that education level.

In the 1995-96 school year, out of every 100 people with the typical graduation ages, the following obtain graduation: around 47 bachillerato, 16 auxiliary technicians/technicians, and 17 technical specialists, in the post-compulsory second education, and 11 medium grade university graduates, 15 upper grade university graduates and 1 doctorate, in university education.

Women have higher graduation rates than men in all levels, except for doctorate, where men's rates are higher.

From 1986-87 to 1995-96, all graduation rates have increased, being higher in general bachillerato within post-compulsory education, and medium and upper grade university graduates within university education. In the last five years, the number of auxiliary technicians have decreased.

[^11]Table: Gross graduated rates by Comunidad Autonoma. School year 1995-96.

|  | Bachiliter | Auxiliary technician/ Technician | Technical specialist Senior technioion | Medium grade uriversity graduates | Upper grade university graduates | Doctorate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NATIONAL MEAN | 47,1 | 15,9 | 16,5 | 10,6 | 15,0 | 0,9 |
| Andalucia | 40,8 | 13,5 | 13,7 | 10,1 | 10,4 | 0,7 |
| Aragón | 55,1 | 23,5 | 19,2 | 14,0 | 13,4 | 1,1 |
| Asturias (Principado de) | 58,3 | 13,7 | 20,0 | 13,4 | 14,0 | 1,1 |
| Baleares (Islas) | 39,2 | 8,8 | 7,5 | 7,4 | 5,0 | 0,3 |
| Canarias | 40,4 | 13,1 | 12,8 | 6,6 | 6,6 | 0,7 |
| Cantabria | 46,9 | 16,1 | 18,1 | 10,5 | 8,3 | 0,7 |
| Castilla y León | 54,7 | 15,7 | 16,1 | 16,3 | 13,0 | 0,9 |
| Castilla-La Mancha | 39,5 | 12,1 | 12,6 | 8,4 | 9,1 | 0,1 |
| Cataluña | 43,3 | 21,7 | 20,5 | 9,9 | 16,1 | 1,0 |
| Comunidad Valenciana | 41,6 | 19,4 | 14,5 | 11,7 | 13,9 | 0,8 |
| Extremadura | 41,4 | 10,2 | 9,5 | 12,6 | 5,6 | 0,4 |
| Galicia | 48,0 | 17.7 | 18,1 | 8,3 | 11,2 | 0,7 |
| Madrid (Comunidad de) | 60,4 | 13,4 | 16,1 | 10,8 | 28,7 | 1.7 |
| Murcia (Región de) | 42,2 | 15,4 | 18,3 | 11,8 | 8,7 | 0,7 |
| Navarra (Comunidad Foral de) | 53,5 | 19,1 | 18,9 | 9.7 | 32,2 | 2,9 |
| Pais Vasco | 61,5 | 13,6 | 26,5 | 10,1 | 19,3 | 0,6 |
| Rioja (La) | 52,2 | 21,9 | 19,4 | 13,7 | 2,8 | 0,1 |
| Ceuta | 33,5 | 10,6 | 6,3 | 11,5 | 0,0 | 0,0 |
| Melilla | 38,2 | 0,0 | 6,5 | 10,8 | 0,0 | 0,0 |

Table: Change in gross graduated rates by academic level and gender.

|  | Bachiller |  |  | Auxiliary technician/ Technician |  |  | Technical specialist/ Senior technician |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 86-87 | 91-92 | 95-96 | 86-87 | 91.92 | 95-96 | 86-87 | 91-92 | 95-96 |
| Both gender | 34,8 | 43,1 | 47.1 | 14,8 | 17.8 | 15,9 | 8,9 | 12,6 | 16,5 |
| Men | 31,1 | 37,7 | 40,8 | 14,1 | 17.1 | 15,4 | 9,3 | 12,1 | 15,2 |
| Women | 38,7 | 48,6 | 53,7 | 15,6 | 18,5 | 16,4 | 8,4 | 13.2 | 17.9 |
|  | Medium grade university graduates |  |  | Upper grade university graduates |  |  | Doctorate |  |  |
|  | 86-87 | 91-92 | 95-96 | 86-87 | 91.92 | 95-96 | 86-87 | 91-92 | 95-96 |
| Both gender | 6,3 | 7.2 | 10,6 | 10,2 | 11.7 | 15,0 | 0,5 | 0,7 | 0,9 |
| Men | 4,3 | 5,6 | 8,3 | 9,3 | 10,4 | 12,8 | 0.7 | 0,8 | 1,1 |
| Women | 8,3 | 8,8 | 13,0 | 11,1 | 13,2 | 17.4 | 0,4 | 0,5 | 0,7 |

# PARENTAL LEVEL OF EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT AND WORK STATUS AND CHILDREN'S EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT 

## Rs6.1. Parental level of educational attainment and children's educational attainment

Percentage of 19-23 year-old youngsters that:
1st: Have the necessary requirements to have access to university (access possibility).
2nd: Have entered university (Access)
3rd: Have access to university in relation to those who have the necessary requirements to do it. (Access/possibility relationship).

In 1997, access possibility and access to university among 19-23 year-old youngsters was related to their father's educational level. Thus, among youngsters with a father who is illiterate or has not finished his studies, $27 \%$ have the necessary requirements to enter university, and $14 \%$ have entered it, while $89 \%$ of youngsters having fathers with university studies have the necessary requirements to enter university and $72 \%$ have entered it.

In 1987, 19-23 year-old youngsters percentage that had the possibility to access, and those who actually entered, were lower than ten years later. Generally, differences between students rates with fathers of different educational levels, have decreased in the ten-year period considered. Women's percentages are higher than men's, both in having the necessary requirements to enter university and in actually entering it. This occurred both in 1987 and in 1997. where rate differences between women and men show an increasing trend in women's percentage.

## Rs6

Chart: Percentage of students having access possibility and entering university, by father's study level. 1987 and 1997.


Table: Percentage of students having access possibility and entering university, by father's study level and by gender. 1987 and 1997.

| Children's education | Gender | 1987 |  |  |  | $1997$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Father's study level |  |  |  | Father's study level |  |  |  |
|  |  | Illiterate or incomplete studies | Primary studies | Intermediate studies | University studies | illiterate or incomplete studies | Primary studies | Intermediate studies | University studies |
| \% with | Total | 16 | 39 | 70 | 85 | 27 | 50 | 75 | 89 |
| acces | Men | 13 | 34 | 67 | 82 | 21 | 42 | 68 | 87 |
| possibility | Women | 20 | 45 | 78 | 88 | 34 | 58 | 82 | 91 |
| \% who | Total | 7 | 20 | 47 | 66 | 14 | 28 | 52 | 72 |
| entered | Men | 5 | 15 | 41 | 64 | 9 | 21 | 43 | 67 |
| university | Women | 9 | 25 | 53 | 69 | 19 | 34 | 60 | 78 |
| Access- | Total | 40 | 51 | 66 | 78 | 51 | 55 | 69 | 81 |
| possibility | Men | 36 | 45 | 62 | 78 | 45 | 50 | 64 | 76 |
| relationship | Women | 44 | 56 | 68 | 79 | 55 | 58 | 73 | 85 |

# PARENTAL LEVEL OF EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT AND WORK STATUS AND CHILDREN'S EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT 

## Rs6.2. Parental work status and children's educational attainment

Percentage of 19 and 23 year old youngsters that: 1st: Have the necessary requirements to have access to university (access possibility). 2nd: Have entered university (Access) 3rd: Have access to university in relation to those who have the necessary requirements to do it. (Access/possibility relationship).

In 1997, access possibility and access to university in 19 and 23 year-old youngsters was related with their father's work status. Thus, among youngsters with unemployed fathers, $36 \%$ had the necessary requirements enter the university, and $19 \%$ had entered to it, while $58 \%$ of youngsters with employed fathers had the necessary requirements to enter university and $37 \%$ had actually entered to it. Those differences in 1997 have remained practically the same than in 1987.

Between 1987 and 1997, in the three father's work status possible situations (inactive, unemployed and employed), both in access possibility and in actual access to university, rate differences between women and men show an increasing trend in women's percentage, although men's percentages have increased more than women's in the university access/possibility relationship.

Generally, differences between social positions/groups are more important when considering the secondary education graduation rate than the university access rate.

Chart: Percentage of students having access possibility and access to university, by father's work status. 1987 and 1997.



Table: Percentage of students having access possibility and entering university, by father's work status and by gender. 1987 and 1997.

|  |  |  | 1987 |  |  | 1997 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fath | er's work |  |  | er's work |  |
| Children's education | Gender | Inactive | Unemplo | ployed | Inactive | Unemplo | ployed |
| \% with | Total | 29 | 22 | 43 | 45 | 36 | 58 |
| access | Men | 25 | 20 | 38 | 38 | 29 | 51 |
| possibility | Women | 34 | 25 | 49 | 53 | 42 | 65 |
| \% who | Total | 14 | 10 | 25 | 25 | 19 | 37 |
| entered | Men | 12 | 8 | 20 | 20 | 16 | 30 |
| university | Women | 17 | 12 | 30 | 30 | 23 | 44 |
| Access- | Total | 49 | 45 | 57 | 55 | 54 | 64 |
| possibility | Men | 47 | 40 | 52 | 54 | 55 | 59 |
| relationship | Women | 52 | 47 | 62 | 56 | 53 | 68 |

# LABOUR FORCE PARTICIPATION RATE AND UNEMPLOYMENT RATE BY LEVEL OF EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT 

## Rs7.1. Labour force participation rate by level of educational attainment

Percentage of labour force between 25 to 64 years of age population, by educational level.

The higher the 25-64 years of age population study level, the greater the labour force participation rate. In 1997, the national labour force participation rate was around $67 \%$. Above this percentage, and in decreasing order, the labour force participation rates are ordered as follows: upper grade university graduates, senior-technicians, medium grade university graduates and technicians, bachillerato level and compulsory secondary education level, all of them with labour force participation rates between $91 \%$ and $74 \%$. Below the above mentioned rate, there are the people with primary studies, unfinished studies and illiterates, with $57 \%$, $44 \%$ and $23 \%$, respectively. In the youngest population sector, between 25 to 34 years of age, the same trends can be seen, but with higher labour force participation rates than in the previously mentioned population.

Gender differences in labour force participation rates are higher among people with lower study levels, and always higher for men.

Between 1987 and 1997, in the group of 25 to 34 years of age, women's labour force participation rates have shortened differences with men's in the majority of educational levels, equalling, in 1997, men's rates for upper grade university graduates and surpassing them in medium grade university graduates.

[^12]Chart: Change in labour force percentage, by educational level and gender (25-64 years of age).


Chart: Change in labour force percentage, by educational level and gender (25-34 years of age).


# LABOUR FORCE PARTICIPATION RATE AND UNEMPLOYMENT RATE BY LEVEL OF EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT 

## Rs7.2. Unemployment rate by level of educational attainment

> Percentage of unemployed people 25 to 64 years of age in relation to the labour force of the same age, by educational level.

In 1997, generally, the lower the study level, the higher the unemployment rate, both in 25-64 years of age population and in $25-34$ years of age population. In both age groups, the unemployment rates which are above the national means, $17.5 \%$ and $24.2 \%$ respectively, correspond to illiterates, people with unfinished studies, technicians and people with compulsory secondary education. Under the national mean are the unemployment rates of senior technicians, bachillerato level and upper and medium grade university graduates.

In both age-groups, women's unemployment rate is higher than men's in nearly all educational levels.

In general, between 1987 and 1997, unemployment rates of people with lower educational levels have increased more than those of the five highest educational levels. At the same time, the differences between women and men unemployment rates have increased in the lowest educational levels.

Chart: Trend in unemployed percentage to labour force, by educational level and gender ( $25-64$ years of age).


Chart: Trend in unemployed percentage to labour force, by educational level and gender ( $25-34$ years of age).

-

## National system

## of education indicators


[^0]:    ${ }^{(17)}$ Total expenditure is consolidated by eliminating transfers between public and private, so that, the percentage of GDP corresponding to total expenditure on education $(6.2 \%)$ is not exactly the addition of the percentage of GDP allocated to public expenditure on education ( $5 \%$ ) plus that allocated to private expenditure on education (1.4\%),

[^1]:    Sources:

    - Students: Estadistica de la Enseñanza en España niveles no universitarios, 1986-87, 1991-92 y 1996-97, Oficina de Planificación y Estadistica del MEC; Estadistica de la Enseñanza Universitaria, 1986-87, 1991-92 y 1996-97, INE; Other sources.
    - Population: Proyecciones de Población del INE (Based on Censo de Población 1991).

[^2]:    Sources:

    - Students: Estadistica de la Enseñanza en Espan̄a niveles no universitarios, 1996-97, Oficina de Planificación y Estadistica del MEC; Estadistica de la Enseñanza Universitaria, 1996-97, INE. Other sources.
    - Population: Proyecciones de Población del INE (Based on Censo de Población 1991).

[^3]:    Sources:

    - Students: Estadistica de la Enseñanza en España niveles no universitarios, 1986-87, 1991-92 y 1996-97, Oficina de Planificación y Estadistica del MEC; Estadistica de la Enseñanza Universitaria, 1986-87, 1991-92 y 1996-97, INE; Other sources.
    - Population: Proyecciones de Población INE (Based on Censo de Población 1991).

[^4]:    Sources:

    - Students: Estadistica de la Enseñanza en España niveles no universitarios, 1986-87, 1991-92 y 1996-97, Oficina de Planificación y Estadistica del MEC. - Population: Proyecciones de Población INE (Based on Censo de Población 1991).

[^5]:    Sources:

    - Students: Estadistica de la Enseñanza en España niveles no universitarios, 1986-87, 1991-92 y 1996-97, Oficina de Planificación y Estadistica del MEC; Estadistica de la Enseñanza Universitaria, 1986-87, 1991-92 y 1996-97, INE; Other sources.
    - Population: Proyecclones de Población INE (Based on Censo de Población 1991).

[^6]:    Sources:

    - Students: Estadistica de las Pruebas de Acceso a la Universidad, 1996, INE; Estadistica de la Enseñanza Universitaria, 1986-87, 1991-92 y 1996-97, INE. - Population: Proyecciones de Población INE (Based on Censo de Población 1991).

[^7]:    Sources:

    - Directors international survey about educational processes. INES World

    Education Indicators Programme (OECD). 1995.

    - Family questionnaires from the research: Evaluación de la educación primaria. INCE. 1995.

[^8]:    Sources: Family questionnaires from the following research:

    - Evaluación de la educación primaria. INCE. 1995.
    - Diagnóstico del sistema educativo. La escuela secundaria obligatoria.

    INCE. 1997.

[^9]:    Sources: Teacher and student questionnaire "La función docente" from the research: Diagnóstico del sistema educativo. La escuela secundaria obligatoria. INCE. 1997.

[^10]:    Sources: Family questionnaires form the following research:

    - Evaluación de la educación primaria. INCE. 1995.
    - Diagnóstico del sistema educativo. La escuela secundaria obligatoria.

    INCE. 1997.

[^11]:    Sources:

    - Graduates: Estadistica de la Enseñanza en España niveles no universitarios, 1986-87, 1991-92 y 1995-96, Oficina de Planificación y Estadistica del MEC; Estadistica de la Enseñanza Universitaria, 1986-87, 1991-92 y 1995-96, INE.
    - Population: Proyecciones de Población (Based on Censo de Población 1991). INE.

[^12]:    Sources: Encuesta de Población Activa-2 $2^{\circ}$ trimestre, 1987 y 1997. INE. Compiled from micro-data, Universidad Carios III.

